

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,046	08/21/2003	Steven Don Arnold	H0004511 1546		
7590 10/20/2005			EXAMINER		
Ephraim Starr			TRIEU, THAI BA		
Division Genera	al Counsel				
Honeywell International Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
23326 Hawthorne Boulevard, Suite #200			3748		
Torrance, CA 90505			DATE MAILED, 10/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/647,046	ARNOLD, STEVEN DON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thai-Ba Trieu	3748		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thai-Ba Trieu	3748						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of le appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause					
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		colod olaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-9,11-17,19 and 20.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by (See Continuation Sheet).	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	•							
•		Thai-Ba Trieu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3748						

October 13,2005

First of all, the EGR system of the Gladden device is modified with a Woollenweber DPF (US Patent Number 6,062,026) as well as the position of the Khair DPF (US Patent Number 5,771,868). The combination of Gladden and Woollenweber or the combination of Gladden, Woollenweber and Khair reads on all the limitations as claimed in claims of the instant application. The PDF Woollenweber ('026) being located in front or after the EGR cooler is used to filter the particles of the EGR gas before passing through the second compressor and then entering the engine (emphasis added: in column 9, lines 28-30, Woollenweber discloses that "if desired, a particular trap 41 may be employed somewhere in the exhaust gas conduit 49 carrying the EGR gas). Additionally, the location of the DPF Khair is used to modify the combination of Gladden and Woollenweber, which points out the same location as claimed in the instant application.

Secondly, applicant argues on the principle of operating individual reference, which is not claimed.

Thirdly, the DPF, by itself, is used to filter the particles in the exhaust gas. In case, if the DPF is formed with/contains of a catalytic converter, or a diesel oxidation catalyst, or a lean NOx trap, this DPF will dramatically increase the exhaust gas at a higher temperature. However, applicant just claims the DPF. Accordingly, the sole performance of the instant application DPF is to filter the particles.